

**Alignment with Grant Objectives:**

Criteria	0: Insufficient	1-2: Good	3-4: Exceptional	Score:
<b>Objective 1:</b>  <b>Collaboration amongst organizations to make significant improvements to the hunger relief network in their area</b>	-Collaboration amongst community organizations not listed.  -No explanation of how collaborative efforts will strengthen the surrounding hunger relief network.	-Some collaborative efforts amongst community organizations are identified.  -Minimal explanation of how collaborative efforts will strengthen the surrounding hunger relief network.	-Strong collaboration amongst community organizations is clearly established.  -Each identified collaborative effort clearly shows how surrounding hunger relief network will be strengthened.	

Criteria	1-2: Insufficient	3-4: Needs Improvement	5-6: Good	7-8: Exceptional	Score:
<b>Objective 2:</b>  <b>Increase client access to food and reduce client barriers</b>	-Current client barriers not identified.  -No evidence that project will address barriers to client access.	-Current client barriers are not clearly identified.  -Unclear if/how project will address barriers to client access.	-Some client barriers identified.  -Some supporting evidence that each identified barrier will be addressed by the project.	-Current client barriers to food access are clearly identified.  -Strong supporting evidence that each identified barrier will be addressed by the project.	
<b>Objective 3:</b>  <b>Respond to organizational and community need</b>	-Organizational/community needs are not clear or identified.  -Little to no evidence or data to demonstrate the need provided.  -Project does not address identified needs.	-Organizational/community needs are identified.  -Minimal supporting evidence of need provided.  -Project somewhat addresses identified needs.	-Organizational/community needs are identified.  -Some supporting evidence of need is provided.  -Project is aligned with identified needs.	-Organizational/community needs are clearly identified. -Clear and ample supporting evidence and data of need provided. -Paints a clear picture of what the need is and how this project will address it. -Project is in direct response to the need.	

**Social Vulnerability Index:**

Criteria	0: No	2: Yes	Score:
<b>Social Vulnerability Index</b>	-Organization is not located in or providing service to one or more areas that rank 9 or higher on the Social Vulnerability Index section of the Washington State Department of Health's Health Disparities Map.	-Organization is located in or providing service to one or more areas that rank 9 or higher on the Social Vulnerability Index section of the Washington State Department of Health's Health Disparities Map.	

**Underserved/Under-resourced Communities:**

Criteria	0: N/A	1: Indirect	2: Direct	Score:
<b>Direct Impact</b>	-Project efforts do not appear to specifically benefit underserved/under-resourced communities.	-Efforts appear to indirectly benefit underserved/under-resourced communities described.	-There are clear efforts to indirectly and directly benefit underserved/under-resourced communities described.	

**Project Details:**

Criteria	1: Insufficient	2: Needs Improvement	3: Good	4: Exceptional	Score:
<b>Major Activities and Timeline</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Major activities and estimated completion dates are not listed for the performance period.</li> <li>-No potential challenges are identified.</li> <li>-No back up plans for each potential challenge are described.</li> <li>-Little to no evidence that spend down will occur within the performance period.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Major activities and completion dates are listed for the performance period, but there is some doubt that activities could be completed.</li> <li>-Potential challenges are identified, but no back up plans are provided.</li> <li>-Provides minimal evidence that spend down will occur within the performance period.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Major activities and completion dates are listed for the performance period.</li> <li>-Vague potential challenges and back up plans are provided.</li> <li>-Provides some evidence that spend down will occur within the performance period.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Major activities and completion dates are listed for the performance period that demonstrate thoughtful planning to the execution of the project.</li> <li>-Potential challenges are identified. If applicant states there are no challenges to the project, there is clear evidence to support the claim.</li> <li>-Back up plans for each potential challenge demonstrate preparation and forethought.</li> <li>-Provides sufficient evidence that spend down will occur within the performance period.</li> </ul>	
<b>Internal Monitoring Procedures</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-No internal monitoring procedures in place to ensure accurate spend down timeline.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Internal monitoring procedures are vague or appear unreliable to fully ensure accurate spend down timeline.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Some internal monitoring procedures provided to ensure accurate spend down timeline.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Clear and effective internal monitoring procedures to ensure accurate spend down timeline.</li> </ul>	

**Budget Details (Detailed Budget Expenses, Categories, and Allowability):**

Criteria	1: Insufficient	2: Needs Improvement	3: Good	4: Exceptional	Score:
<b>Detailed Budget*</b>	<p>-Expenses listed in the budget do not align with major activities listed in the project details section.</p> <p>-Budget categories do not add up correctly to total project cost.</p>	<p>- Expenses listed in the budget somewhat align with major activities listed in the project details section.</p> <p>-Budget categories do not add up correctly to total project cost.</p>	<p>- All but one expense listed in the budget align with major activities listed in the project details section.</p> <p>-All budget categories add up correctly to total project cost, except for a slight error with indirect rate.</p>	<p>- Expenses listed in the budget clearly align with major activities listed in the project details section.</p> <p>-All budget categories add up correctly to total project cost.</p>	
<b>Budget Categories and Allowability</b>	<p>-Several expenses described are in the incorrect budget category.</p> <p>-Several expenses described are disallowed (i.e. gift cards, tips, medicine, volunteer/staff meals or appreciation costs.)</p>	<p>-Some expenses described are in the incorrect budget category.</p> <p>-One or more expenses described are disallowed.</p>	<p>-All but one of the expenses described are in the correct budget category.</p> <p>-All expenses described are allowable.</p>	<p>-All expenses described are in the correct budget category.</p> <p>-All expenses described are allowable.</p>	

\*Award size subject to change based on funding levels.

We anticipate the minimum to be no less than \$10-20K (subject to change).

We anticipate the maximum not to exceed \$150-250K (subject to change).